



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,145	04/21/2004	Yuh-Cherng Wu	13906-122001 / 2003P00271	5096
32864	7590	01/22/2010		
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.				
PO BOX 1022				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
EXAMINER				
PESIN, BORIS M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2174				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/22/2010		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/829,145	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WU, YUH-CHERNG	
	<b>Examiner</b> BORIS PESIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2174	

**All Participants:**

(1) BORIS PESIN.

(2) Richard Soderberg.

**Date of Interview:** 11 January 2009

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief Filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to:   ☐ Applicant      ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**   ☐ Yes      ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

### Part I.

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Claims discussed:  
4

Prior art documents discussed:

### Part II.

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner suggested that the Applicant incorporate claim 4 into independent claim 1 in order to put this case into condition for allowance. The Applicant declined to make such an amendment at this particular time.*

### Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Boris Pesin/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)